

Assyria, Israel, Judah, Babylon, End Times, the Bible and the Jesuit Forgery of World History

Ассирия, Израиль, Иудея, Вавилон, Конец времён, Библия и иезуитская подделка всемирной истории



The first cinema movie in the History of Mankind: Tukulti Ninurta I (1244-1207), King of Assyria, King of Sumer and Akkad, King of the Four Corners of the World, King of All Peoples, King of kings, is represented as standing and then as kneeling, in an effort to feature the movement of the emperor during his prayer to Assur figuratively shown as ceremonial pole implying the Divine Epiphany above the temple's altar. Berlin, Staatliche Museen, Vorderasiatisches Museum

Содержание

Введение

- I. Заблуждения, основанные на ложных источниках: иудео-христианская цивилизация и греко-римский мир
- II. Древние евреи были всего лишь месопотамцами
- III. Моисей и египтизация ранее ханаанизированных месопотамцев
- IV. Библейские пророки: распространение ассирийского монотеизма среди немногих египтян, переселившихся в Ханаан
- V. Священная Ниневия, ассирийцы как избранный народ, Мессия и Конец времён: основные принципы ассирийского монотеизма

- VI. Ассирийский монотеизм против вавилонского политеизма: соперничество, сформировавшее мировую историю
- VII. Перенос основных тем ассирийского монотеизма и цивилизации в библейские тексты
- VIII. Ассур, Единый Бог, говорил с Ионой
- IX. Божественная Ассирия, искажённая Ассирия, проповедь Ионы и вселенско-эсхатологическая роль империи Саргонидов
- X. Иона, Иисус и возвращение ассирийцев в конце времён
- XI. Иезуиты, масоны, сионисты и католический Рим/Вавилон Великий вскоре будут уничтожены.

Contents

Introduction

- I. Fallacies fabricated on deceitful sources: Judeo-Christian Civilization and Greco-Roman World
- II. The Ancient Hebrews were merely Mesopotamians
- III. Moses and the Egyptianization of the earlier Canaanized Mesopotamians
- IV. Biblical prophets: diffusion of Assyrian Monotheism among few Egyptians who resettled in Canaan
- V. Sacred Nineveh, Assyrians as the Chosen People, Messiah, and the End Times: major tenets of Assyrian Monotheism
- VI. Assyrian Monotheism vs. Babylonian Polytheism: the rivalry that shaped World History
- VII. Transfer of major themes of the Assyrian monotheism and civilization in the biblical texts
- VIII. Assur, the Only God, spoke to Jonah
- IX. Divine Assyria, Deviate Assyria, the Preaching of Jonah, and the Universal-Eschatological Role of the Sargonid Empire
- X. Jonah, Jesus, and the Return of the Assyrians at the End of Time
- XI. Jesuits, Freemasons, Zionists, and Catholic Rome/Babylon the Great to be soon windswept

Introduction

What follows is a lengthy response that I sent to a friend in response of his questions, which concerned few excerpts from the biblical prophet Nahum, Assyria, and our deviate world whereby witchcraft is performed by evil people against innocent and moral persons.

----- A friend's question -----

Good afternoon, Shamsaddin.

I recently read several books on the history of Assyria - its political and economic structure, religion, and wars. The commentaries on the Book of Nahum mention debauchery and witchcraft as the primary strategies for enslaving Assyria's neighboring states. This has given me several thoughts. Drawing parallels with today, I believe that the structure of society has changed little since then. There is also a certain group of the population which, under cover of the secret services, practices witchcraft to discredit and destroy so-called "undesirable" citizens. I'm

referring to the issue of "targeted Individuals". I'd like to hear an expert's opinion. What do you think about this?

----- My response -----

Good evening, my friend!

Thank you for your consideration and question! Apologies for the delay!

I. Fallacies fabricated on deceitful sources: Judeo-Christian Civilization and Greco-Roman World

Unfortunately, today, most of the people have minimal knowledge or understanding of the Ancient Mesopotamian world, which is however more authoritatively known and therefore far better accessed than the fallacious fabrications, which are called 'Biblical world' or 'Ancient Greek world'. Few people realize that there is no trust, no honesty, and therefore no authority in manuscripts that are dated 2000 years or 1500 years after the date they had purportedly been written.

The Hebrew Bible (Masoretic text) is an entire reconstruction; the Septuagint is also preserved in manuscripts that date many centuries after the supposed texts were written. And the entire Greek world is a fabrication of Christian monks who wrote whatever opinion they wanted to attribute to Aristotle, Demosthenes, Herodotus, Thucydides and Plato in the 'copies of manuscripts' that they were ordered by their superiors to prepare. It is an entire fabrication, which if not demolished will lead the entire world to extinction.

Contrarily to them, Ancient Assyrian-Babylonian and Ancient Egyptian sources are abundant and, more importantly, they are coeval with the events that they describe. Many other Oriental sources complete the picture: Sumerian, Elamite, Hurrian, Hittite, Ugaritic Canaanite, Urartu, etc., perhaps not so abundant as the above mentioned fundamental sources, but undeniably all contemporaneous, not posterior.

But the fraudulent nature of the Western universities is such that they do their ingenious best to conceal the aforementioned truths and -worse- to delay or cancel the study of the voluminous cuneiform documentation (more than one million two hundred thousand texts) that we already possess. The same can be said about the extraordinary number of (at times very lengthy) Islamic manuscripts accumulated and preserved in Western libraries and museums; the bulk of them (more than one hundred thousand) have not been read, translated, studied, commented, and made widely known and part of the primary-secondary education worldwide. A great number among them are of scientific contents and they document a science, namely the 'ancient science', which was totally different from the distorted modern pseudo-science that leads nowadays mankind to extinction.

In fact, the so-called international community should nowadays have at least 10000 Egyptologists, 30000 Assyriologists (with strong background in Sumerian, Assyrian-Babylonian Elamite, Hurrian, Hittite, Ugaritic Canaanite, Urartu, and Achaemenid Iranian), and 50000 Islamologists (fluent in Farsi, Arabic, Middle Persian, Avestan, Turkic languages, and Urdu) in order to progressively make the badly missing, yet existing, information known to all. This effort would consist in true and genuine

liberation from the fallacies of the so-called Hellenism, Hellenistic times, Greco-Roman world, Judeo-Christian civilization, Migration periods, etc. In any case, this is a vast topic, but I digress ...

The nature of Ancient Hebrews as an independent ancient 'nation' is disputed; although the backbone of the main narrative (Abraham, Joseph, Moses, David, Solomon) is easily confirmed by the existing cuneiform and hieroglyphic literature as eventually plausible (i.e. not as fact), the overall articulation of the Old Testament echoes many ancient texts that were unearthed in Mesopotamia, Canaan and Egypt, deciphered-translated, studied and commented. But no scholar was truly allowed to draw parallels and point out facts, so that the misinterpretation and -above all- the isolation of the so-called Biblical world may be preserved.

II. The Ancient Hebrews were merely Mesopotamians

I will now break down the entire myth about the so-called Ancient Hebrews into some pieces:

For what concerns the world until 2-3 generations after Noah, the so-called Biblical authors offer versions of narratives attested in Mesopotamia. But what we know from cuneiform documentation already is sufficient enough for us to understand that there were very different and conflicting priesthoods of monotheistic-aniconic and polytheistic-idolatrous backgrounds always in clash with one another; the conflict is attested in the different versions of Assyrian-Babylonian sacred texts, namely epics, cosmogonies, myths, cosmologies, eschatologies, hymns, moral literature, and the local education and culture. So, we have a selected transfer of Mesopotamian cults.

Who is Abraham? A Babylonian from Ur who escapes the polytheistic rule of Nimrud-Gilgamesh! He pursues the then highly documented trade route from Mesopotamia alongside the Euphrates up to Harran, in the area where the river crosses today the Turkish-Syrian border. There he must have been associated with the Hapiru, early attestation of the name of the Hebrews; but the Hapiru are not a 'nation', as they constitute a socio-professional class. They are boatmen who cross the river. The topic has been recurrently discussed among scholars ever since my French professor Jean Bottéro, renowned Assyriologist and friend of Igor Diakonoff, wrote his famous article back in the 1950s. About:

Le problème des Habiru à la 4e Rencontre assyriologique internationale
https://catalogue.leidenuniv.nl/discovery/fulldisplay?vid=31UKB_LEU:UBL_V1&dclid=alma990013505780302711&context=L

Book review:

https://www.persee.fr/doc/syria_0039-7946_1955_num_32_3_5107_t1_0333_0000_4
Also:

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/%CA%BFHapiru>

<https://ru.wikipedia.org/wiki/Хабиры>

Who is Joseph? When someone settles in Canaan, works the land, keeps the cattle, and procreates, his small family gets acquainted with Canaanite traditions and cults apparently of monotheistic nature, e.g. the worshippers of El. When two generations later, the small tribe seeks safety in Egypt they are not viewed as Mesopotamians anymore, but as Canaanites. In time, the newcomers get Egyptianized, and are easily

assimilated among Egyptian monotheists. But there were no slaves in Egypt and in Mesopotamia, and the status of servant in the ancient Oriental civilizations was later confused with that of slave in the barbarian realms of South Balkans (the so-called, but otherwise nonexistent, Greece) and Rome.

Due to his Egyptian name, we know that Moses was an Egyptian monotheist, apparently supporter of the strictly monotheistic religion of Akhenaten; entire verses of hymns composed by Akhenaten are inextricable parts of Psalms, but still the Western forgers and colonial academics try to keep the sector of Biblical Studies dissociated from Egyptology! About:

https://www.academia.edu/106818658/Hatshepsut_Thutmose_III_Akhenaten_Ramesses_III_and_Moses_Double_Stratagem_against_the_Sea_Peoples_PLUS_107_PICTURES_and_LEGENDS

III. Moses and the Egyptianization of the earlier Canaanized Mesopotamians

So, who was Moses? Clearly an Egyptian monotheist, who -after the collapse of the monotheistic state of Akhenaten and Tutankhaten (later renamed Tutankhamun) and following the white terror imposed by Ay and Horemheb due to the restoration of Amun Theban polytheism and trinity (Amun, Mut, Khonsu)- first, confronted the pharaoh and the polytheistic priesthood (thus demonstrating that the monotheists were markedly stronger in spirituality: 'his' snake ate the serpents of the polytheistic high priests) and second, led a great number of Egyptian monotheists out of Egypt to the Sinai, namely Saudi Arabia's NW confines. What we conventionally call 'the Sinai Peninsula' is not the Biblical Sinai. Now, it would be highly unlikely for the small Babylonian-Canaanite tribe of Hapiru that had settled in Egypt almost 400 years before the Exodus to still use their Babylonian-Canaanite jargon. But never mind! They were heading to Canaan again, and their Egyptian idiom would again be Canaanized.

Who were David and Solomon? Two kings that the Egyptian monotheists, who settled in South Canaan, wanted to have like the Phoenicians (the descendants of the 2nd millennium BCE Canaanites), the Aramaeans, the Neo-Hittites, the Philistines, and other small nations in whose vicinity they lived. This was a concession, not a right; this fact demonstrates that, for their strictly monotheistic perception of God, human societies must not have kings.

Only the rise of the two monarchs and the subsequent division of their state in two small kingdoms (namely Israel, the Northern Kingdom of the ten tribes, and Judah, the Southern Kingdom of the two tribes) is enough to demonstrate the advanced Canaanization of the monotheistic Egyptian migrants, who had crossed the desert for 40 years. If this occurred in terms of state organization, it certainly happened also at the level of writing and language. When we examine the scarce but extant epigraphic documentation, which is the only to count because it is contemporaneous with the facts), we realize that what is now called 'Ancient Hebrew' is merely a Phoenician dialect.

So what did finally take place among the divided 'Hebrews' of the late 10th c. BCE?

In fact, they had undergone an enormous adversity to preserve their monotheistic faith in another land, moving out of the corrupted but not terminated Egypt, and they had ended up in the same polytheistic structure which would inevitably drive them back to polytheistic practices as it occurred most of the time in Mesopotamia, Egypt, Canaan and Anatolia. The practices of the first descendants of Solomon either in Israel (with Samaria as capital) or in Judah (with Jerusalem as capital), as they are documented in contemporaneous Assyrian texts and preserved on inscriptions, fully demonstrate their common, ordinary nature: they were two kingdoms similar to all the rest and that's why they constantly participated in the same or opposite alliance of small states fighting against one another.

At this point, we notice -in the posterior Biblical sources- the appearance of prophets; but we have no epigraphic documentation about them. In addition, no external, contemporaneous or posterior sources mention the 'Biblical prophets'; there are no Assyrian-Babylonian, Phoenician, Aramaic, Egyptian texts about them. In addition, we don't find the slightest mention of them in any Achaemenid Iranian text, Meroitic or Yemenite inscription, Greek or Latin textual documentation. I don't imply that the Biblical (four 'major' and twelve 'minor') prophets did not exist. But I state this fact as a problem.

Why on Earth do we find diverse posterior references to Imhotep (an Egyptian), Sanchuniathon (a Phoenician), Zoroaster (an Iranian) and Berossus (a Babylonian), but Elijah, Isaiah, and Jeremiah appear to be totally unknown outside the limited environment of the Jewish, Christian, and Islamic worlds and of their derivatives? It is not only this; in spite of the numerous Assyrian texts that relate to the small kingdoms of Israel and Judah, which ended with the Assyrian captivity of Israel (722-719 BCE, after which the Ancient Israelites disappear once forever) and with the Babylonian captivity of Judah (587-6 BCE), we have no mention of Jews throughout the Achaemenid Iranian epigraphic documentation. Both in Persepolis and in Naqsh-e Rostam, we have several cases of epigraphic and pictorial enumeration of all the peoples of the vast Empire, but no Jews are mentioned anywhere. About:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Assyrian_captivity

<https://archive.org/details/who-were-the-cimmerians-and-origins>

<https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/10.1086/373660>

<http://publ.royalacademy.dk/books/222/1393?lang=da>

IV. Biblical prophets: diffusion of Assyrian Monotheism among few Egyptians who resettled in Canaan

Based on Biblical and posterior religious (Christian and Islamic) texts, we find the prophets in their -rather concealed today- role; they ostensibly were in constant clash with the kings and their infidel priests, who justified all the erroneous choices made by the unjust rulers. In fact, the prophets totally denounced the malefic rabbis for perfidiously siding with the thrones of Samaria and Jerusalem, and for thus driving all the Hebrews astray. To this fundamental element, numerous eschatological descriptions were added only to illustrate the punishment of the infidel rulers and people. Infidelity is the reason for which prophets were sent to Israel.

- What is infidelity?

This is easy to describe, and the Old Testament offers abundant examples; infidelity is the predisposition to rely on material force (goods, economic wealth, military force, alliance with foreign rulers, etc.) and not on spirituality (God) when one needs to overcome the difficulties and the adversities faced by their common fate (or society or realm).

Using a modern term, we would be on the safe side if we claimed that the prophets of the Ancient Hebrews were permanent reminders of monotheism not only at the theoretical level but also with respect to practical matters, taking into consideration the fact that it is false, improper and impertinent for a monotheistic society and kingdom to take a polytheistic stance in terms of foreign affairs and relations with other kingdoms and empires, while always pretending to be monotheistic of faith. There are no such things as 'political convenience', 'conventional approach to reality', 'my enemy's enemy is a friend', 'alliance with the devil', and so on; faith means permanent dedication to, and reliance on, the Divine.

In this regard, we can certainly establish a parallel between a) the aforementioned internal strife in the Hebrew kingdom(s) of the 10th–6th c. BCE and b) the fierce opposition between the monotheists of Assyria and the polytheists of Babylonia in Mesopotamia. This well known, extensively documented, and yet most concealed polarization shaped the course of History from the end of the 3rd millennium to the middle of the 1st millennium BCE; it was not only a matter of spirituality, religion, cosmogony, cosmology, eschatology, world conceptualization, cult, education, art, literature and culture, but also of imperial authority, functionality and paraphernalia.

Whereas Assur (written with the Sumerogram DINGIR, which was initially an ideogram for the Sumerian word 'Sky'/'Heaven', later extended to a logogram for 'God', and finally turned into a phonogram for 'an'; it was pronounced 'ilu' in Assyrian-Babylonian, i.e. 'God', because a logographic reading was added. Finally, the same sign was used to denote 'God', in both languages, as well as the following aspects of the Divine: Anshar, Anu, and Assur) was perceived as the Only God by the Assyrians, Marduk of Babylonia was an abomination to them. About:

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dingir>
<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anshar>
<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anu>
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/An_%3D_Anun
<https://en.wiktionary.org/wiki/Aššūr>
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ashur_\(god\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ashur_(god))
<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sumerogram>

For the Babylonians, their city (Bab ili: the Gate of god) was the image of the entire world, because it was exactly there where the axis of the sphere of the Universe crossed the horizontal section of the sphere, which was the conceived as the surface of the Earth; to them Assur was merely the god of some aggressive warriors in the North. About:

<https://en.wiktionary.org/wiki/B%C4%81bilim#Akkadian>
<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Babylon#Names>

V. Sacred Nineveh, Assyrians as the Chosen People, Messiah, and the End Times: major tenets of Assyrian Monotheism

But Assyria was Divine. Take into account this: the city was named 'Assur', i.e. the 'City of God'; the nation was called 'Assur', i.e. the 'Nation of God'; the country was identified as 'Assur', i.e. the 'Land of God'. As the entire Earth belonged -by Divine Right- to Assyria, the Emperor of Assyria was indeed the 'Emperor of the Universe', and the Assyrians were the Chosen People tasked to preserve the Rightness, the Justice and the Truth on Earth until all things end. The absolute concept of the Divine Kingdom (which definitely involves spirituality in the 'Imperial Art', i.e. the imperial governance) dates back to the time of the first emperor in World History: Sargon of Akkad (24th-23rd c. BCE); the Akkadians (named after their still unidentified and unearthed capital, Agadé-Akkad) were the early Semites, and their descendants were the Assyrians in the North and the Babylonians in the South. About:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/King_of_the_Universe

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Universal_monarchy

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Category:Kings_of_the_Universe

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/King_of_the_Four_Corners

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Four_corners_of_the_world

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/King_of_Sumer_and_Akkad

Assyrian Ideology and Israelite Monotheism

<https://www.jstor.org/stable/4200589>

Imperial ideology in the Neo-Assyrian empire

<https://search.informit.org/doi/abs/10.3316/ielapa.129273998814988>

The Ideology of the Assyrian Empire

<https://ixtheo.de/Record/1588646025>

[https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781003157717-](https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781003157717-12/ideology-assyrian-empire-mario-liverani-niels-peter-lemche-emanuel-pfoh)

[12/ideology-assyrian-empire-mario-liverani-niels-peter-lemche-emanuel-pfoh](https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781003157717-12/ideology-assyrian-empire-mario-liverani-niels-peter-lemche-emanuel-pfoh)

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neo-Assyrian_Empire#Government

At the intersection between dogma and cult, the Assyrian religion can be understood as entirely monotheistic: the diverse names of 'gods' reflect only human efforts to better define the omnipotence and omnipresence of the Divine. Some 'gods' represent only the successive hypostases of the Creator; Both, Mesopotamians and Egyptians, made a clear distinction of the stages of the Divine: 'before the Creation', 'during the Creation', and 'after the Creation' (or if you prefer 'present times'); this means that for an Assyrian monotheist, the distinction between Ea and Nabu was minimal.

Other 'divinities' constituted only aspects of Assur; Nabu is the Divine Wisdom; Adad is the electromagnetic flow and force of the Divine. Shamash was the Divine Justice (symbolized by the Sun), and Nergal was, as stated in texts, 'the Shamash of the Nether World' (which means the Justice in the Hereafter). As represented by the Moon, Sin was the symbol of the divine Chosen People (i.e. the Assyrians); this is not bizarre at all, because the Assyrian-Babylonian word for 'man' ('human' or 'human being') was in later periods 'amilu' (from which originates the Arabic word 'amil') and in earlier periods 'awilu' and 'awilum'. The etymology of this word reveals its real meaning: 'awe ilu' means 'like God'. So, humans are indeed the likeness of God. About:

<https://www.assyrianlanguages.org/akkadian/dosearch.php?searchkey=aw%C4%ABlu&language=rawakkadian>

<https://en.wiktionary.org/wiki/awilum>

<https://www.assyrianlanguages.org/akkadian/dosearch.php?searchkey=28&language=id>

Last but not least, Ishtar (Inanna in Sumerian) is the representation of the divine college of the Assyrian monotheistic priests, and that is why she was constantly represented as seated (and not standing) and as bearded (thus exercising a later impact on the Phoenicians, the Greeks, and the Romans, namely in statues of the bearded Astarte, the bearded Aphrodite, and the bearded Venus). This concept was introduced among the Ancient Hebrews, as per the Bible the entire nation of Israel is a 'woman' besides Yahweh. In fact, the Assyrian Ishtar was the secret society of the spiritually formidable priests who had to guide the Assyrian nation to exile so that they return back to their land through a most spectacular flight at the End of Time by the Messiah (conceived by the Assyrian monotheists in two different versions, namely Ninurta and Etana).

The Assyrian Ishtar has an Egyptian parallel; this is Isis of the Iwnw Heliopolitan priesthood (the dogma of the so-called Ennead); Isis (Aset in Ancient Egyptian) is represented with a seat (a throne) on her head, thus fully establishing the concept of seated colleges of priests during their regularly convened meetings. In fact, all the travails and the lamentations of Isis for the excruciating dismemberment of the Osirian body by Seth (Osiris, Wser in Ancient Egyptian, means 'the Well Being') find their literary-mythical-cosmological-spiritual counterpart in the Assyrian-Babylonian Epic "The Descent of Ishtar to the Nether World". About:

<https://en.wiktionary.org/wiki/%3dst#Egyptian>

<https://en.wiktionary.org/wiki/wsjr#Egyptian>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Descent_of_Inanna_into_the_Underworld

If I briefly expanded on topics pertaining to the Assyrian Monotheism, Messianism, Mysticism, Divine Imperialism, and Eschatology, this is because I wanted to show to you that all these thematic entities were progressively copied and transferred within the so-called Biblical contexts. After being established (ca. 1000 BCE), during its brief existence, and after its division (ca. 930 BCE), the Ancient Hebrew kingdom(s) got entirely Assyrianized.

For this reason Assyria plays a so important role in the Old Testament, in the Gospels, and in the Quran. One would have to elaborate a series of books to duly present all the issues involved. To summarize it, I would say that the Ancient Hebrew kingdoms were and functioned as paraphernalia of the Mesopotamian tradition of Monotheism.

VI. Assyrian Monotheism vs. Babylonian Polytheism: the rivalry that shaped World History

From Tukulti Ninurta I (1244-1207 BCE) to Sargon of Assyria (722-705 BCE) to Assurbanipal (669-625 BCE), the monotheists of Assyria were not the same; the spiritual-intellectual-academic-educational-cultural identity of Assyria presents several ups and downs during this period. These are all due to the very diverse forms of clash between monotheists and polytheists. My previous description of the topic was definitely brief; so, please, do not take it as a presentation of the sole and absolute norm and definition! I certainly presented the rule, but -as you know- every

rule has its exceptions. There were always a pro-Assyrian party in Babylonia and a pro-Babylonian party in Assyria; the forms of clash were diverse but there was never an intention to totally exterminate the other because their common Semitic Akkadian origin and their shared Sumerian cultural heritage were deeply felt situations and conditions of life. There were several wars between Assyrians and Babylonians, and they were all religious, but this was only one form of the clash.

As I said, the polarization between monotheists and polytheists took the form of

- a) alteration of sacred texts, epics, hymns and admonitions;
- b) diverse writing (spelling) of names, which were then differently interpreted;
- c) elaboration of different versions of mythical narratives;
- d) individualization-personalization of the divine traits, aspects and manifestations;
- e) attribution of perverse traits, identities and stories to the personalized aspects of the divine;
- f) gradual formation of independent, male or female, 'deities' of anthropomorphic conceptualization;
- g) subordination of various 'aspects of the divine' (according to earlier beliefs) to the fabricated deities;
- h) extreme paganization of faith and individualization of the cult, which was thenceforth attributed to idols, and not to the Divine;
- i) transformation of the earlier eschatological concepts, which were all due to the spiritual potency of the monotheists and to their ability to totally transcend the time, into miserably preached soteriological fallacies as per which the believers would be saved, if they believed the abominable profanities of the perverse polytheists of Babylon; and
- j) villainous proclamation of the concept of Papo-Cesarism, in order to subordinate the emperors to the priests, which is truly the abomination of the desolation, because humans can be organized in societies normally, naturally, effectively, and divinely only after the concept of Caesaropapism.

In other words, instead of perceiving God, the profane and blasphemous polytheists created the 'gods'.

It was only normal for the monotheists of Assyria to oppose, decry, threaten, curse and ultimately exterminate the polytheists and their evil distortions, alterations, and barbarizations, which were tantamount to complete dehumanization. God is One and the Same everywhere; there is no difference between Assur and Elohim, when it comes to monotheists. But Assur with polytheistic attributes becomes instantly an abomination.

What you read as expression of prophetic anger against Assyria is merely the echo of the wrath that the Assyrian monotheists felt against the effort of polytheists to take hold of Assyria in order to prevent the divine empire from performing its unique and universal role in World History.

With more than 100 references of the name of Assyria in the Old Testament, you understand that I cannot write here a full commentary of the topic; such an endeavor would take a large book. It is essential however to tell you beforehand that no place on Earth is holier than Assyria, no other land has ever had greater importance, and no other nation managed to be as crucial and as seminal in World History as the Assyrians – those who lived in Northern Mesopotamia until 640-630 BCE, departed

at that time (in order to fulfill an old Assyrian prophecy detailing their diachronic and universal role), scattered in faraway regions among diverse nations (therefore losing their identity, religion, writing and language), lived for 27 centuries without remembering their past, and were totally unaware of their prophesied spectacular return at the end times during an operation undertaken by the Ultimate Emperor.

The true, historical Assyrians are not to be confused with today's bogus-Assyrians, who are merely Aramaeans targeted by the Protestant missionaries who corrupted them first, converted them to their pseudo-Christian heresy, and finally ordered them to change their national name.

All Biblical references to Assyria have been either concealed or misinterpreted by modern scholars in an effort to divert the average people from understanding the successive distortions that were intentionally effectuated in World History in order to make it suit the evil needs of the viciously antihuman forces that rose in power before 550 years and managed to progressively control the world. That's why Assyria is said to be portrayed as an instrument of judgment or as a symbol of wickedness and oppression or anything else that allows for false interpretations, which suit the fake Jews, the fake Christians, and the fake Muslims of today.

All Biblical prophetic references to Assyria are therefore presented flatly as if the prophets did not live in subsequent historical periods (from the 10th to the 7th c. BCE) and as if they did not reflect different historical circumstances (the various ups and downs of the Assyrian monotheism). However, if all the prophets and the texts attributed to them are dated correctly, and all their references to Assyria are properly interpreted, all the people will understand because they make sense. By the way, Assyria is also mentioned in the Books of Kings and Chronicles, not to mention the Book of Genesis (2:14; this verse makes of the Assyrians the first nation mentioned in the Bible).

VII. Transfer of major themes of the Assyrian monotheism and civilization in the biblical texts

However, what else are the Books of the Kings and the Chronicles, but a later effort to depict the petty kings of Israel and Judah as following the Assyrian-Babylonian and Egyptian tradition of inscribing Imperial Annals on the walls of majestic palaces (in Mesopotamia) and mortuary temples (in Egypt), in addition to the creation of outstanding monuments (like the Kurkh Monoliths, the Black Obelisk, the Stela of Ashurnasirpal II, the Balawat Gates, etc.) and highly distinct objects (such as the Prisms and the Cylinder of Sargon II, the six-sided Prisms of Sennacherib, the Zincirli Stele of Esarhaddon, the Rassam Cylinder, etc.)? About:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kurkh_Monoliths

http://helpmewithbiblestudy.org/17Archeology/InscriptionKurkhMonoliths_Graves.aspx

<https://www.biblicalarchaeology.org/daily/ancient-cultures/ancient-near-eastern-world/kurkh-monolith-black-obelisk/>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stela_of_Ashurnasirpal_II

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Black_Obelisk_of_Sh Salmaneser_III

<https://tyndalehouse.com/2020/11/20/the-black-obelisk/>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Balawat_Gates

The Royal Inscriptions of Tiglath-pileser III (744–727 BC) and Shalmaneser V (726–722 BC), Kings of Assyria

https://epub.ub.uni-muenchen.de/119667/1/Tadmor_Yamada_RINAP_1.pdf

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Annals_of_Sargon_II

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sargon_II%27s_Prisms

<https://www.historyofinformation.com/detail.php?id=2416>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sennacherib%27s_Annals

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Victory_stele_of_Esarhaddon

The Royal Inscriptions of Esarhaddon, King of Assyria (680–669 BCE)

<http://www.aina.org/books/trioe.pdf>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rassam_cylinder

The Royal Inscriptions of Ashurbanipal (668–631 BC), Aššur-etel-ilāni (630–627 BC), and Sîn-šarra-iškun (626–612 BC), Kings of Assyria, Part 2

https://epub.ub.uni-muenchen.de/94879/1/0RINAP5_2.pdf

https://epub.ub.uni-muenchen.de/116650/1/0RINAP5_3_final.pdf

It is in the light of this documentation, which is trustworthy as contemporaneous with all the aforementioned Assyrian emperors, and in view of similar, abundant Assyrian-Babylonian evidence that we can embark on the study of the Biblical texts, which were recorded so many centuries and/or millennia later and with evident the readiness of the scribes to usurp a heritage that was never theirs.

VIII. Assur, the Only God, spoke to Jonah

With respect to the references to Assyria within the books of the prophets, we can certainly find notions, like what you mention in Nahum, as well as in Hosea, Amos, Micah, and Isaiah; but every textual Biblical reference to Assyria is eclipsed by the entire Book of Jonah, which was definitely far more important in terms of posterity, if we take into consideration first, the discourse of Jesus about the Men of Nineveh and Jonah, and second, the entire chapter (the 10th Surah) that the Quran makes available about Yunus (Jonah), namely an early, Meccan revelation.

The entire situation is described in an illustrative manner; God speaks to Jonah and orders him the following: "Go to the great city of Nineveh and preach against it, because its wickedness has come up before me" (New International Version-NIV; note that I systematically reject the fallacious King James Version, because it is the product of foremost malignancy). If compared with the Septuagint text, there are few mistakes (ἀνάστηθι καὶ πορεύθητι εἰς Νινευὴ τὴν πόλιν τὴν μεγάλην καὶ κήρυξον ἐν αὐτῇ, ὅτι ἀνέβη ἡ κραυγὴ τῆς κακίας αὐτῆς πρὸς με.), notably "preach against it" (the correct is "preach in it") and "wickedness" (the correct is "evilness" or "badness"). About: <https://en.wiktionary.org/wiki/κακία>

All the same, it is clear that Elohim/Yahweh (as much as Assur Himself) was highly concerned with Assyria, and more specifically its neo-Assyrian capital Nineveh; it is ostensible that, as per the word of God, the Assyrians had gone astray. As principal contents of the description ('evilness') can be considered the following:

- reluctance to fulfill the divine mission that the Assyrians had (a mission that is extensively documented in Neo-Assyrian sources),
- deviance from the right path (introduction of manners and attitudes of polytheistic societies),

- tolerance toward polytheistic states (notably Elam) and
- materialistic endeavors in striking contrast to the disciplined conduct, military austerity, and devotional abstinence that suit the truly faithful, i.e. monotheistic societies.

Quite indicatively, when the Emperor of Assyria, the nobles, and the people repented, they returned to their temporarily lost austerity and abstinence; this is clearly stated in the third chapter (verses 5 and 7): "The Ninevites believed God. A fast was proclaimed, and all of them, from the greatest to the least, put on sackcloth" and "By the decree of the king and his nobles: Do not let people or animals, herds or flocks, taste anything; do not let them eat or drink".

My intention at this point is not to analyze the Book of Jonah in the light of the extant cuneiform Assyrian-Babylonian sources, but to contextualize its narratives. The period that fits the description is the beginning of the reign of Sargon II (Sarrukin of Assyria). The clear distinction between a) opulent and insensible Assyrians and b) sentient and conscious Assyrians is made (and this can be observed in the existing cuneiform sources) with the rise of the Sargonid dynasty (722-609 BCE).

IX. Divine Assyria, Deviate Assyria, the Preaching of Jonah, and the Universal-Eschatological Role of the Sargonid Empire

What had occurred can be described in brief as it follows: after the stable period of expansion, which characterized the reigns of Ashurnasirpal II (884-859 BCE) and Shalmaneser III (859-824 BCE), when the Assyrians functioned as the rod of God's anger (Isaiah's 10th chapter echoes those days), Assyria underwent a period of vanity and complacency that had nothing to do with the Assyrian universal mission as well documented on cuneiform sources.

From Shamshi Adad V (824-811 BCE) down to Shalmaneser V (727-722 BCE), with the honorable exception of Tiglath-Pileser III (745-727 BCE), Assyria underwent a century of slumber, self-satisfaction, and idleness. It was as if, after the shocking and unprecedented conquest of Babylon by Shamshi Adad V (following the famous and stupendous Battle of Dur Papsukkal, in 814), a curse fell on Assyria and the various successors of the emperor lost the feeling of their mission. It is not therefore without meaning that Sargon II pretended to be the son of Tiglath-Pileser III, as he wanted to put an end to the troublesome situation that God decried in numerous Assyrian texts of the Sargonid times and in the Hebrew Bible. About:
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battle_of_Dur-Papsukkal
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_Assyrian_kings

The four principal Sargonid emperors, Sargon of Assyria (722-705 BCE), Sennacherib (705-681 BCE), Esarhaddon (681-669 BCE), and Ashurbanipal (669-625 BCE) covered the period of an illustrious century of regional expansion, irrevocable transformation, and universal resonance; it is not a matter of quantitative evaluation but qualitative impact on World History. Very little matters that -for the first time- all the lands from Western Anatolia to the central Iranian plateau and from the Caucasus Mountains to the southernmost confines of Egypt were ruled by only one sovereign.

The following critical historical landmarks of the Sargonid times determined the destiny of mankind, irreversibly fulfilled all Assyrian eschatological prophesies, and remain until now the root causes of the historical process, and of its real prospects:

- 722-719 BCE: the conquest of Samaria by Sargon II, the abolition of the Kingdom of Israel, and the resettlement of the Israelites in the NE confines of Assyria

- 719-705 BCE: the beginning of the dispersion of the Israelites outside the Assyrian Empire

- 701 BCE: the destruction of the kingdom of Judah and the siege of Jerusalem by Sennacherib

- 689 BCE: the conquest of Babylon by Sennacherib

- 648 BCE: the conquest of Babylon by Ashurbanipal

- 640 BCE: the conquest and total destruction of Elam by Ashurbanipal, which was described as the Fulfillment of Time by the Assyrian Emperor, as it happened (as per the Annals of Ashurbanipal) no less than 1636 years after the Flood; this was so because the Elamites were always considered by the Assyrians (and by the Babylonian monotheists) as the focus of evil, as inhuman beings, and as the main enemy of mankind. The army of the Assyrians did not only carry out a terminal genocide against the Elamites, but it also spread salt throughout the devastated territory in order to cancel what had been done on it as lawless life by their enemies.

- 640-630 BCE: departure of the bulk of the Assyrian population from Assyria, dispersion along the lines of the Israelites, who had left earlier, amalgamation with them, and spread of all the migrants across Eastern and Central Europe under the names of Cimmerians and Scythians.

- 625-609 BCE: after the bulk of the Assyrian population left, Assyria was a vast empire with an empty center; the remaining guards of the main cities would not stand for long, because they were not a match for the Babylonian-Median alliance. After the last battles, no Assyrian was left alive in the quasi-uninhabited center of the vast empire, and for this reason the division of the conquered lands was easy to make between Babylon and Ecbatana (the capital of Media). Assyrian was never written again, whereas Babylonian was written for more than 600 years after the Fall of Babylon (539 BCE) to Cyrus. About:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sargonid_dynasty

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Akkadian_royal_titulary

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sargon_II#Early_reign_and_rebellions

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kingdom_of_Israel_\(Samaria\)#Conquest_by_the_Neo-Assyrian_Empire_\(732%E2%80%93720_BCE\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kingdom_of_Israel_(Samaria)#Conquest_by_the_Neo-Assyrian_Empire_(732%E2%80%93720_BCE))

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ten_Lost_Tribes

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sennacherib#Assyria_and_Babylonia

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sennacherib%27s_campaign_in_the_Levant

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Siege_of_Azekah

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Siege_of_Lachish

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Assyrian_siege_of_Jerusalem

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sennacherib#Destruction_of_Babylon

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battle_of_Halule

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Siege_of_Babylon

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Esarhaddon#Military_campaigns

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Assyrian_conquest_of_Egypt

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sas%C3%AE_movement

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ashurbanipal#Egyptian_campaigns

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ashurbanipal#Civil_war_with_Shamash-shum-ukin
<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/%C5%A0ama%C5%A1-%C5%A1uma-ukin>
<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kandalanu>
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Assyrian_conquest_of_Elam
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battle_of_Susa
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Elam#Neo-Elamite_II_\(c._770_%E2%80%93_646_BC\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Elam#Neo-Elamite_II_(c._770_%E2%80%93_646_BC))

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cimmerians>
<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scythians>
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Medo-Babylonian_conquest_of_the_Assyrian_Empire
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fall_of_Harran
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battle_of_Carchemish

X. Jonah, Jesus, and the Return of the Assyrians at the End of Time

The end of Assyria was temporary; In fact, it was a departure, a long period of absence, and a spectacular return at the Second Coming, i.e. the second 'Fulfillment of Time', when the great Assyrian Emperor, according to his own confession that is recorded in his Annals, will return to bring his people back. That's why the Book of Jonah ends with the permanently binding statement uttered by God to Jonah in the form of a question:

- And should I not have concern for the great city of Nineveh, in which there are more than a hundred and twenty thousand people who do not make a distinction between their right and their left hand, and also many animals?

The eschatological meaning of this sentence reveals the nature of Celestial Nineveh, after the End of Time; the people, who will survive and live there, will not be divided into 'right' and 'left'. In this regard, it is essential to point out here that the two terms are used with their moral and spiritual notions.

Quite meaningfully, foremost importance is attached to Assyria and Nineveh within the Book of Tobias and Tobit, in which the Archangel Raphael refers (12:11) to the mystical importance of the Ancient Emperor, who will return at the End of Time: "it is good to conceal the mystery of the King, while also revealing in glory the works (deeds) of God".

With respect to this topic, there is a remarkable continuity, which transcends all the subsequent religions, namely Christianity and Islam. Not only is the 'Sign of Jonah' going to be the most determinant point as regards salvation at the End of Times as per the words of Jesus, but also "the men of Nineveh will stand up at the judgment with this generation and condemn it" (Matthew 12:41); exactly the same sentence can be found in Luke (11:32). This is one of Jesus' most critical eschatological definitions, and as you see, there is no return of Jews (which is a tragi-comical lie necessary to the Jesuits and the Freemasons more than to the Zionists), but the return of the Assyrians and the Israelites, who were also Mesopotamians, as I already said. The spectacular event will be of absolutely transcendental nature, and it will therefore terminate all norms of the present world.

In Matthew 24:30-31, Jesus speaks very clearly, but the systematic misinterpretation of the Christian sacred texts prevents people from properly understanding the true meaning and the allusion made by Jesus: "Then will appear the sign of the Son of Man in heaven. And then all the tribes of the land will mourn when they see the Son of Man coming on the clouds of heaven, with power and great glory. And he will send his angels with a loud trumpet call, and they will gather his elect from the four winds, from one end of the heavens to the other".

The 'Son of Man' is the term used by Jesus for the Ancient King; his 'sign' is precisely the spectacular return, which will look like "as lightning that comes from the east is visible even in the west" as per Jesus' own terms in Matthew 24:27. The "elect" will not be the Ashkenazi Zionists who were the useful idiots for the evil Jesuits and the perfidious Freemasons, but the returning Assyrians and Israelites.

On exactly the same wavelength, the Quran (10th chapter, namely Surah al Yunus, verse 98) reads: "If only there had been a society which believed 'before seeing the torment' and, therefore, benefited from its belief, like the people of Jonah. When they believed, We lifted from them the torment of disgrace in this world and allowed them enjoyment for a while".

XI. Jesuits, Freemasons, Zionists, and Catholic Rome/Babylon the Great to be soon windswept

To close this lengthy response, I will briefly comment on some of the points you made in your question.

Yes, indeed, Nahum mentions debauchery and witchcraft as strategies of the Assyrian kings, who had gone astray and intended to use the same means and techniques that their enemies were known for practicing. This is a calamitous attempt; it is impossible for a morally sound, spiritually virtuous monotheist to oppose the evilness of polytheistic states, societies, elites and rulers with their own means, methods and tactics. What better example can one offer you than today's Muslim states, statesmen, administrations and elites?

Their filthy attitude of having relations with the West is tantamount to spreading excrements on their Qurans and desecrating their mosques; the evil, pseudo-Muslim states and their Satanic governments must all be monstrously exterminated. If they are not lynched and dismembered alive, all these filthy, ignorant, and villainous statesmen will bring about the annihilation of two billion Muslims. What happens in Gaza is the dress rehearsal for the elimination of Iran, Egypt, Turkey, Pakistan and Saudi Arabia.

It is exactly the same as with the paranoid pseudo-Muslims, who happened to be the filthy leaders of Hamas; they -and not the Israelis- are the sole responsible for the ongoing massacre of Gaza. The stupid gangsters of Hamas wanted to make silly resistance against Israel from their lavish villas in God-damned Qatar. This very shameful attitude is exactly what Nahum called 'debauchery'. The wrath of God will eliminate all the criminal, ignorant and idiotic elites of today's Muslim states for precisely this reason.

Yes, indeed, you are right; "the structure of society has changed little since then". That's correct. If most of the people do not understand this fact, this is due to the systematic misinterpretation of the New Testament and of Jesus' eschatological comments and narratives. When Jesus uses the term "this generation", he does not mean the "people who lived back in his time", as the vicious Jesuits and the fallacious professors of the Western European and North American theological seminars teach, pretend and claim. This is already easy to understand thanks to the few excerpts that I mentioned in my response. "This generation" is a diachronic term used for all the people who may have lived from the Flood to the End of Time. Even more so, since "the men of Nineveh will stand up at the judgment with this generation and condemn it"!

Last but not least, you are also right saying meaningfully that secretive societies "practice witchcraft to discredit and destroy so-called "undesirable" citizens". But this happened always; this life is a period of exam, which is offered to each and every one of us. There is no such thing as 'material standards of a person's success in life'; the only measures are moral. When we perform as we are expected to, we have nothing more to do in this life and we return to the spiritual realm where we belong in. The idiotic people, who seek material successes, are at the end consumed by eternal fire; there, at the very bottom of the Hell, their wretched souls realize that no one can possibly discredit or destroy an 'undesirable' person anytime anywhere and under any circumstances whatsoever.

So, the absurd idea that a man can potentially 'target' another human being is nothing more than a fraudulent hope of few sunny hours before night comes and then the idiotic person, who attempted this evildoing, gets "a more perfect inward vision of the truth of his hopelessness".

Many thanks and best regards,
Shamsaddin